

Dr. Robert Redfield, former CDC director, dropped a bombshell the other day that would have been all over the news if we lived in a normal society.

"I really would like to see the mRNA vaccine use curtailed," he said, "and personally, I'd like to see it eliminated, because I think there's too many unknowns."

Say what?

Surely he can't mean the "safe and effective" shots that saved eleven billion lives and which people lost their livelihoods and retirement for declining!

Now before that fiasco, it would never have occurred to me to question the (word that rhymes with shmackseen) propaganda.

Before Covid, I had heard, and never saw any reason to question, the standard line: "the science" has spoken, and hundreds of millions of lives have been saved.

I thought it required a special kind of obtuseness to have a "dissident" view about something everyone knew was a boon to mankind, and whose absence would mean worldwide catastrophe.

If you're shocked and appalled by my shift to skepticism on this, rest assured that I have heard all the slogans and arguments that you have heard, that like you I once thought it would be insane to hold a different view, and that I have not changed my mind lightly.

The Covid propaganda is what made me reconsider. I've written a whole book about that (*Diary of a Psychosis*), as you know, because in every case, what we were told was the opposite of reality. Every time. And the way they pitched the shots was no different.

First, we were told things about their efficacy that were certainly false -- so false that years later the very people who uttered them tried to pretend that they had never said such things. That's a pretty bad sign right there.

Then the "studies" purporting to show their effectiveness were generally models (which don't have a super track record to begin with) that involved assuming the conclusion, and extrapolating from there.

And then it hit me: these shots and the propaganda surrounding them aren't anomalies.

I looked up the actual numbers of lives that could possibly have been saved by the shots on the shmackseen schedule in the United States (which, being my home,

matters most to me, but I also looked into the developing world), and it's so far from the crazy numbers regularly thrown around that I realized: something is fishy about the whole thing.

Add to that the way dissidents are treated -- "why, I won't dignify their position to debate them!" -- and that's when it dawned on me: could this be another case of the same old, same old?